Full-stack engineering Assessment report

Anonymised excerpt from a completed assessment. Typed interview and coding.

76 / 100	51 / 100	100 / 100	2 of 4
Reported overall	Interview	Coding aggregate	Focus areas assessed

RECOMMENDATION IN SOURCE / HIRE

The source also notes a cap of 70 because only two of four focus areas were assessed. It is unclear whether the cap applies to the displayed overall score or a component. Verify scoring before relying on the recommendation.

OBSERVED STRENGTHS

Described a retrieval pipeline using chunking, embeddings and metadata filtering. Named Precision@K and Recall@K as relevant measures.

GAPS AND FOLLOW-UP

Ask for a concrete retrieval baseline and measured improvement. Probe React state management and database schema design, which were not assessed. Request a concrete example of agentic workflow decisions beyond naming LangGraph.

CODING EVIDENCE

Longest substring without repeating characters: 100/100, 6 of 6 tests passed. Longest increasing subsequence: 99/100, 5 of 5 passed. The report records a sliding-window approach and a dynamic programming solution, respectively.

COVERAGE AND INTEGRITY

Spoken communication was not assessed. The source reports zero integrity flags and records a loss and regain of window focus. Those observations describe the session record.

NEXT CLIENT INTERVIEW

Validate the unassessed focus areas and ask the candidate to walk through trade-offs in a recent project. Use the assessment evidence alongside your own interview.